Current Social Prescribing Practices Across Greater Manchester

University of Salford: Dr Michelle Howarth, Dr Andrea Gibbons, Kirsty Marshall and Dr Alison Brettle Salford CVS: Anne Lythgoe Contact: a.r.gibbons1@salford.ac.uk

Aim of project:

To map existing provision of social prescribing across GM, with an additional 'deep-dive' focus on Salford, contextualised against a wider set of best practices as identified in the literature

Method(s) used:

A mixed methods approach using secondary data sources, qualitative stakeholder engagement events and a GM wide survey provide a 'helicopter' perspective of social prescribing provision across GM.





Social adVentures 👎 🍩	Trafford Leisure CIC
Langworthy Corner- stone	BHA for Equality (all GM)
Salford Carers' Centre	
Salford Mens Club	

Ltd (All GM)	GM)	
		Yaran Northwest
PARS service GMMH	Citizens Advice Man-	CIC
Wai Yin Society (all	chester	Ethnic health forum
GM)	Gaddum	
	Guuuin	Southway Housing
GreaterSport	Big Life Group 👎 🚳	Trust
	TLC-St Lukes & St	Tree of Life Centre
Royal British Legion -	Lukes Art Project	

being independance
Network
Focused Care CIC
Gaddum





Conclusions:

A wide variety of services and models currently exist across GM that can be described as social prescribing. They mirror the variety found nationally among types of models and terminology, which often describe very similar methodologies and services in very different ways. Whilst the survey is a preliminary step to mapping the sector, it has provided useful information about the number, type and commonalties between SP provision. The next steps are to verify and amplify area by area through regional meetings.

Challenges: Evidence base

Limitations of CBA, SROI, RCT

Enablers:

- Some only need basic signposting and referral, for all others the more holistic the service, the better the outcomes and satisfaction tend to be.
- Difficulties of using formal wellbeing and other health measures (WEMWBS etc)

63

42

42

- Funding
 - Too short term, uncertain
 - Need to fund referral process, but also VCSE organisations receiving referrals
- Reduction of available resources within both NHS and VCSE
- Difference between GP/NHS approaches and discourse and that of both community members and VCSE organisations (different 'life worlds' as AllTogether Better frames it)
- 'Leap of Faith' from GPs and maintaining ongoing engagement
- - Need for face-to-face contact
 - Usefulness of home visits
- Relationships are central at all levels of service (CCGs & funders, GPs, link workers and/or champions, VCSE sector, community members)
- Regular communications/feedback facilitating relationships and continuous adaptation
- Flexibility of provision adapting both referral processes (some people still prefer phone and online) and services provided, both in terms of content and location
- Long term resources and secure staff
- Up to date resource mapping facilitated by knowledgeable staff

Key emerging issues from the first GM plenary:

- What would it take to come up with a very simple shared outcomes framework based around wellbeing for patients? There is a need to push back against some of the RCT kind of demands and just work to create very crude measures of broad reductions in NHS access (in thinking about NHS impacts), and how to evidence the impact on the VCSE sector
- What is needed to shift commisioning and investment models on NHS, what is possible now and what are the barriers (ie more around how GPs are paid, how things are commissioned etc)?
- What is needed to get long term funding, particularly for the VCSE side -- ie shifting how other funders are working
- Importance of moving to more holistic work, building networks, but without becoming overwhelmed



